DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 057 837 LI 003 347

TITLE Self-Study and Evaluation Guide; Section C-4;

Physical Facilities.

INSTITUTION National Accreditation Council for Agencies Serving

the Blind and Visually Handicapped, New York, N.Y.

SPONS AGENCY American Foundation for the Blind, New York, N.Y.;

Social and Rehabilitation Service (DHEW), Washington,

D.C.

PUB DATE

NOTE 22p.; (0 References)

AVAILABLE FROM National Accreditation Council, 79 Madison Avenue,

New York, N.Y. 10016 (\$.50 prepaid)

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS Accreditation (Institutions); *Blind; Community

Services; Facilities; Guides; *Library Services; Manuals; Methods; *Self Evaluation; *Standards;

*Visually Handicapped

ABSTRACT

One of the ways society expresses its attitudes and concerns about people with special needs is in the physical structures provided for programs serving those who are in need. A concrete approach toward improving a lagging community's perception of blind persons is through insuring that the physical structures of agencies which serve them reflect fundamental respect for: their dignity as individuals; their potentials for productive participation in society; their basic needs, both those common to all men and those conditioned by their visual limitations. In the final analysis, the primary criterion for evaluating an agency's buildings and grounds is their suitability for effective service. (Other sections of this guide are available as LI 003342-003346 and LI 003348 through LI 003351). (Author/NH)

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE T

National

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OF EDUCATION FURTHER REPROMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER OWNE

[002]



ELF-STUDY AND EVALUATION GUIDE | 1968 Edition

Physical Facilities

IATIONAL ACCREDITATION COUNCIL or Agencies Serving the Blind and Visually Handicapped 79 Madison Ave.
New York, N.Y. 10016

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OF OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Section C-4

•

. -

-

-

-

-

- -. .

-

INSTRUCTIONS

Two steps should precede the use of this Section:

- 1. Section A, Manual of Procedures, should be read for an understanding of the overall framework and governing principles of the self-study and accreditation process.
- 2. Section B. Agency and Community Profile, should be reviewed, since it embraces the statement of the agency's philosophy of service and program objectives, an indispensable base for evaluation of its work.

This Section is designed to convey an accurate description of the agency's performance in a particular subject

area. Three elements are employed to achieve this: a coded Standards Checklist, coded Evaluation Ratings, and Com-

ments. Each is explained below.

Coding the Standards Checklist. Each standard describes a provision, condition or characteristic found in an acceptable agency; checking off, through the appropriate notation, whether and to what extent agency practice conforms to the standard provides a factual base for the succeeding evaluation.

In the parentheses () preceding the standard, insert a symbol according to the following code.

- The standard is fully met.
 - The standard is partly met.
 - O—The standard, although needed and desirable, is not met, or is met to such a limited extent as to be virtually inoperative.
 - ?—The applicability of the standard to the agency is auestioned.
 - X-The standard is clearly inapplicable to the agency.

Coding the Evaluation Ratings. Each evaluation question poses a criterion for judgment. Careful discrimination should be exercised in arriving at such judgment, bearing in mind that standards are not aimed at perfection but are keyed to realistic, attainable levels of performance. While the ratings embrace such concepts as excellent, well, satisfactory, poor, etc., which cannot be specifically defined, a valid judgment can be formulated if the evaluator balances a) the requirements postulated by the standard, b) the agency's stated philosophy of service and program objectives and its community relationships, and c). the practical knowledge derived from the evaluator's own professional experience.

In the brackets [] preceding the evaluation question, insert the code letter that best describes the agency's performance:

E-Excellent. The agency meets the criterion to the fullest extent and functions excellently in regard to it.

VG-Very good. The agency meets the criterion to the fullest extent and functions well in regard to it; or it meets the criterion to a satisfactory extent (i.e., not full coverage) and functions excellently in regard to it.

G-Good. The agency meets the criterion to a satisfactory extent and functions well in regard to it.

F-Fair. The agency meets the criterion to a satisfactory extent (i.e., not full coverage) but functions poorly in regard to it; or it meets the criterion to a limited extent but functions satisfactorily in regard to it.

P-Poor. The agency meets the criterion only to a limited extent and functions poorly in regard to it; or it makes no provision for meeting a needed criterion.

M-Missing. The element identified in the question is missing, but the agency's need for it is open to question.

NA-Not Applicable. The element identified in the question does not apply to the agency.

Comments. Just as not all of the standards necessarily apply to all agencies, not all of every agency's activities are necessarily covered by the standards. The space provided under Comments should be used to note any important feature or characteristic of the agency's activity relating to the subject but not included in the standard. Such notations may be supplemented, if necessary, by supporting data attached to the end of the Section, or by cross-references to other Sections. Addenda and cross-references should be accurately identified by notation of the Section and standard to which they pertain. (For example, material attached to the end of a Section might be marked "Supporting Data, Section D-4, 2-1"; a cross-reference might be noted as "See Section C-1, 2.3.3".)

The material used in preparing this publication was based on studies supported in part by grants provided by the Social and Rehabilitation Service, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C., demonstration grant No. RD-2406 S, and the American Foundation for the Blind, New York, N. Y.

© 1968 by the National Accreditation Council for Agencies Serving the Blind and Visually Handicapped, Inc. Printed in the United States of America.



This section of the SELF-STUDY AND EVALUATION GUIDE is designed for use in conjunction with the other sections listed below. Any agency* undertaking a self-study will need the first seven sections listed below plus all D sections pertaining to the agency's services, an E form for each administrative, professional, and technical staff member, and the evaluation summary and report section.

The GUIDE is available either in a complete, bound edition or in separate sections from the National Accreditation Council for Agencies Serving the Blind and Visually Handicapped, 84 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10011.

SELF-STUDY AND EVALUATION GUIDE

Section	Title
Α	Manual of Procedures
В	Agency and Community Profile
C-1	Agency Function and Structure
C-2	Financial Accounting and Service Reporting
C-3	Personnel Administration and Volunteer Scrvice
C-4	Physical Facilities
C-5	Public Relations and Fund-Raising
D-1	Library Services
D-2	Orientation and Mobility Services
D-3	Rehabilitation Centers
D-4	Sheltered Workshops
D-5	Social Services
D-6	Vocational Services
D-7	Production of Reading Materials
E	Individual Staff Member Information Form
F	Evaluation Summary and Report

*Special guides are available for agencies providing only sheltered workshop services (SELF-STUDY AND EVALUATION GUIDE FOR SHELTERED WORKSHOPS) and for residential schools (SELF-STUDY AND EVALUATION GUIDE FOR RESIDENTIAL SCHOOLS).



C-4

PHYSICAL FACILITIES

Society expresses in many ways its attitudes and concerns about people with special needs. One of these ways is in the physical structures provided for programs serving those who are in need.

Viewed in this light, many of the structures housing services for the blind citizens of our nation demonstrate the regrettable degree to which blind and visually handicapped persons are perceived as dependent, helpless and lacking in personal worth. Although efforts to reverse these negative attitudes have been initiated, much more will be required to achieve public acceptance of blind persons as full members of society.

One concrete approach toward improving a lagging community's perception of blind persons is through insuring that the physical structures of agencies which serve them reflect fundamental respect for:

Their dignity as individuals;

Their potentials for productive participation in society;

Their basic needs, both those common to all men and those conditioned by their visual limitations.

To assure realization of these objectives, the community must first have an appreciation of both the common characteristics and the individual differences among those who are blind or visually handicapped. From this must follow sound planning of the range of services required to develop their capacities and to meet their needs, the guiding principle oring that, wherever possible, services and facilities available to the sighted citizenry should also be available to the blind population. In addition, there may be need for services and facilities uniquely designed for the visually handicapped.

Planning must take realistic cognizance of the fact that physical facilities are apt to be one of the most rigid components of program. Once constructed, buildings can limit—in fact deter—needed modifications of program to conform with changing local conditions, with advances in professional fields or with shifts in use made of services rendered. Existing facilities can so fix an agency's operations and thinking that, when an opportunity arises for remodeling, the agency's personnel may not be able to detach themselves from established locations, structures and traditional patterns of service despite the emergence of greatly changed circumstances.

The import of physical facilities for program, for service to the blind person and for the community's welfare underscores the need for setting sound and substantial standards for such facilities. A well-designed facility will not just enclose the activities of the program but will enhance its operations and stimulate both client and staff to further effort and improvement. Initial planning is not enough. It must be followed by continuous evaluation in the light of mew conditions.

Primary responsibility for assuring that facilities are serving effectively rests with time governing body, the administration and the staff of each agency. The importance of meeting this responsibility justifies complementing local perspective through the introduction of periodic reviews of facilities and programs by an outside body which has a national overview.



4

Although the range of services required by blind persons is extensive, and the variations in facilities housing these services correspondingly vast, there is nevertheless a core of physical features essential in all settings, regardless of services given or the individuals served. Properly identified, these features may be regarded as generic to all physical facilities and enduringly valid in the face of current and future change. Such change is constantly occurring; the rate of change is rapid. Advances in structural materials and methods over the past few decades have been outstanding. Advances in programs and techniques in health, education, welfare and rehabilitation have also been notable. There is no reason to believe that final plateaus have been achieved in any of these fields. Consequently, to fix firm, detailed, specific requirements as to space, lighting and other structural conditions would surely mean that the standards prescribed would be outdated in short order.

The standards herein have been designed to avoid such consequences. They have been prepared in broad, long-range terms, adaptable to local conditions and designed to furnish guideposts for the development of suitable facilities for effective services. Their avoidance of detailed requirements also serves to avert the danger of having minimal standards become maximal and thereby stifle the possibility of change.

It should also be noted that these standards are by no means either all-embracing or final. Like physical facilities, standards themselves are subject to the test of experience and to the changing conditions of the future; they undoubtedly will need modification and expansion time to come.

In the final analysis, the primary criterion for evaluating an agency's buildings and grounds is their suitability for effective service. New structures and furnishings do not guarantee meaningful, needed services, nor do old facilities necessarily negate the conduct of helpful and needed programs. Good physical facilities enable an agency's staff to assist blind and visually handicapped persons to function more competently, more maturely, more independently; they simultaneously enable the persons served to be recognized as individuals of uniqueness and worth, with the end result of motivating these persons to develop their potentials and assume responsible roles in society.

1. SUITABILITY OF FACILITIES

()	1.1 AGENCY PURPOSES AND GOALS. The facilities are consistent with the a stated purposes and goals as authorized by its governing body and set forth in form (C-1, Agency Function and Structure).								
EVALUATIO	ON	1	1	1.	How adequate are the facilities in terms of the agency's purposes and goals?					
-		1	1	2.	To what extent are facilities which are no longer consistent with the agency's purposes and goals converted to other uses or phased out of agency's program?					
		Comments	:							

() 1.2 PERSONS SERVED. The facilities fit the persons served, with particular attention to such characteristics as age, sex, disabilities, needs, potentials and cultural, social and economic backgrounds.

EVALUATION	l 3. How adequately are facilities adapted to the particular needs of the groups served by the agency? Comments:
()	1.3 SERVICE PROGRAM. The facilities suit the agency's program of services.
()	1.3.1 Wherever applicable, the physical facilities meet the stipulations of the standards for program services (Service Section, <i>D-1</i> through <i>D-6</i>).
()	1.3.2 The agency facilities meet all applicable requirements specified by recognized standard-setting organizations in the fields of health, education, library service, welfare, rehabilitation and other areas covered by the agency's program.
EVALUATION	[] 4. To what extent do the agency's facilities meet specific requirements applicable to each of the program services? Comments:
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(')	1.4 FACILITATION OF STAFF PERFORMANCE. The agency's physical environment is one within which the personnel employed to provide services are not only accommodated but facilitated in their performance and efforts to achieve agency objectives.
EVALUATION	[] 5. To what extent does the agency's physical environment facilitate the work of staff?
	Comments:
()	1.5 PERIODIC REVIEW. The overall facilities are periodically reviewed in the light of such factors as services required, population shifts in the community served, efficiency of operations, advances in practice, equipment and construction.
(,)	1.5.1 Where indicated, suitable changes in location, structure and equipment are planned and accomplished.
	Supplemental Data:
	When was the latest review of the agency's facilities made?
	By whom was the review made?
EVALUATION	[] 6. How adequately are periodic reviews used to adapt facilities to current and future requirements?
	Comments:
()	1.6 LOCATION. The location of the agency is suitable for the type of services offered and the community which it serves.
	(While the singular is used when referring to location, ground, plot, etc., it is recognized that an agency may have multiple locations and units. Each unit is to be considered separately in relationship to the standards.)

Self-Study and Evaluation Guide

EVALUATIO		0	•	J	7. 1	How suitable is the location of the agency's facilities for the type of services offered and the community served?					
	'	Comme	UIS:			A second					
. ())	1.7 A	CCES	SSIBII the a	LITY	FOR CLIENTS. The location affords ease of accessibility for those is established to serve.					
())	1.7.1	For with	the 1	iajorit ason.	y of persons served, travel time from their homes to the agency is					
())	1.7.2	cess	Where the persons served reside on agency premises, the location is sufficiently accessible to permit their shopping, participating in off-grounds recreation, accepting employment or undertaking other community activities in accordance with their needs, interests and capabilities.							
()	1.7.3	reli	eious	and h	f the agency is reasonably accessible to such other welfare, educational, ealth agencies in the community as the persons served may require to ds and advance their capabilities.					
()	1.7.4	Th of	e loca indep	tion is enden	s sufficiently accessible to public transportation so that persons capable t travel off agency grounds may do so.					
EVALUATIO	ON	1	How s	uitab	le is th	ne location of the agency for clients:					
			ſ	1	8.	Who travel from their homes?					
			í	1	9.	Who reside on premises?					
			i	1	10.	Who require services of other agencies?					
			ľ	j	11.	Who are capable of independent travel off agency grounds?					
		Comn	nents:	:							
()	1.8	Thel	ocatio	on affo	Y FOR STAFF, VOLUNTEERS AND ANCILLARY PERSONNEL. Grds ease of commutation for workers, paid and volunteer, and for consultative and supplementary services.					
)	1.8.1	to	com	munit	yees are required to reside on agency premises, the location is accessible y resources for shopping, recreation and other activities consistent with s and needs.					
EVALUAT	ION		l.	1	12.	How suitable is the location of the agency for staff, volunteers and ancillary personnel?					
		Com	ments	: :							
()	1.9	SAF	ETY	of Lo	OCATION. The location is reasonably safe.					
()	1.9.1	V t	/here he saf	traffic ety of	c hazards cannot be avoided, proper measures are instituted to assure all persons coming to the agency.					
			r	neans	is ava	an agency is located on a heavy-traffic street, a light, crosswalk or other ilable for safe crossing by persons connected with the agency, both blind See also 3.11 Appropriateness of Safety Measures.)					

EVALUATION	[] 13. To what extent is the location safe?								
	Comments:								
()	1.10 GROUND OR PLOT. The size and other characteristics of the ground or plot are suitable for the ongoing program.								
()	1.10.1 There is sufficient space for current operations.								
()	1.10.2 With due consideration given to needs for effective operation, established trends and other reality factors, plans and provisions are made for land required for future expansion. Such land may or may not be adjacent to existing property, depending on the nature of the agency program and needs.								
()	1.10.3 The ground provides pleasant and appropriate surroundings and is free of undue nuisances and hazards.								
()	1.10.4 Where the use of vehicles is required, the ground provides adequate roadways and parking areas.								
()	1.10.5 Signs or other means are provided to assist the public in locating the agency and its components.								
EVALUATION	[] 14. To what extent are the size and other characteristics of the ground or plot suitable for ongoing program?								
	[] 15. To what extent has the agency made provision for future expansion?								
	Comments:								
	2. ACTIVITY AREAS								
()	2.1 DESIGN AND EQUIPMENT. Areas are suitably designed and equipped for the activities carried on within them.								
_ ()	2.1.1 The design of activity areas provides the flexibility required by program.								
EVALUATION	[] 10. How well designed and equipped are the activity areas?								
	Comments:								
()	2.2 ADEQUACY FOR PEAK LOADS. Areas for all services, both direct and indirect, can accommodate operations at peak loads.								
EVALUATION	[] 17. How adequate are service areas for accommodating operations at peak loads? Comments:								
()	2.3 RELATED ACTIVITIES. Spaces for related and compatible activities are arranged proximally or conveniently to each other.								

Self-Study and Evaluation Guide

EVALUATION	[] 18. How adequately, in terms of client and program needs, are spaces for compatible activities related to each other? Comments:
()	2.4 TRAFFIC PATTERNS. The layout gives due consideration to traffic patterns, both pedestrian and vehicular.
()	2.4.1 Reception areas are adjacent to points of entry.
()	2.4.2 Assembly rooms and other areas designed for mass use are located so as to provide ease of entry and exit.
EVALUATION	[] 19. To what extent does the layout give consideration to the traffic patterns? Comments:
()	2.5 UTILIZATION BY HANDICAPPED PERSONS. Activity areas are designed to give due consideration to utilization by persons whose handicaps impair mobility or limit use of arms and hands.
EVALUATION	[] 20. How adequate is the design of activity areas for use by handicapped persons? Comments:
()	2.6 GROUPING OF AREAS. The grouping of activity areas minimizes such interfering conditions as noise, odors, fumes, traffic, contagion and other nuisances or hazards.
EVALUATION	[] 21. How adequately are activity areas grouped to minimize conditions that create a hazard or a nuisance? Comments:
()	2.7 RECEIVING, STORAGE, etc. The layout takes into account needs for receiving, storing, distributing and disposing of supplies and materials.
EVALUATION .	[] 22. How adequately does the layout provide for the receiving, storing, distributing and disposing of materials? Comments:
()	2.8 PROVISIONS FOR PRIVACY. The building facilities are designed and equipped to assure the persons served and the agency staff of privacy and confidentiality where required.
() ·	2.8.1 The persons served are provided such privacy as may be dictated by their personal needs or activities.
()	2.8.2 The executive and professional staff are provided privacy for such duties as confidential interviews with clients and others, telephone calls and dictation, professional conferences, physical and other examinations of clients, etc.
()	2.8.3 For employees who reside on agency premises, there are quarters which provide privacy during off-duty hours.



EVALUATION		ſ	1	<i>23</i> .	To what extent do the facilit confidentiality where require	ies assure ed?	e persons served of privacy and
		ſ	1	24.	To what extent do the facilit confidentiality where require	ies assure ed?	e agency staff of privacy and
	Com	ments	:				
·			3.	HE	ALTH AND SAFETY PRO	VISIO	NS
. ()	3.1	COD:	ES A	ND O	RDINANCES. The facility conclished by governmental or under	mplies w erwriting	ith all applicable codes and bodies.
	•	(Note	a C	ccepta conseq	tions vary considerably among roble standards. Some are inapprouently, critical review of local coropriate interpretations applied	opriate fo codes sho	lities and states. Some are below or facilities serving blind persons uld be made by each agency,
			C	heck ((\checkmark) areas in which applicable c	odes and	l ordinances have been reviewed
					Planning and zoning		Water supply
					Building and structure		Sewage and waste disposal
					Plumbing and sanitation Heating and ventilation		Food preparation and service
					Electricity and gas		Materials handling Fire and safety
		_	٦.		•	_	•
			3 C	ther (specify):		
			-		<u> </u>		·
			_				
()	3.1.1	Cu	rren	t certi	ficates, where applicable, are ob	tained b	y and kept on file in the agency.
EVALUATION		[J	<i>25</i> .	To what extent does the agen ordinances?	cy comp	ly with applicable codes and
	•	l	J.	<i>26</i> .	To what extent is the file of a	ppropria	te certificates current?
		I	1	<i>27</i> .	How adequate are the agency	procedu	res for correcting deficiencies?
	Comn	nents:					·
()					OM UNDUE HAZARDS. All arre of the individuals served and		
EVALUATION		1	1	<i>28</i> .	To what extent are all areas fr	ree of un	due hazards?
	Comn	nents:					
	2.2	aror.	nn 63 -	EFOT	IADDO Marina de 1000 e	, 	
()					JARDS. Measures are in effect rsons served or employed.	to safeg	uaru signi anu otner sensory



EVALUATION

Comments:

EVALUATION	[] 29. How adequate are measures to safeguard sight and other sensory capabilities? Comments:
()	3.4 DRAINAGE. The grounds are well drained and remain in sanitary and safe condition in all weathers.
EVALUATION	[] 30. How adequate is the drainage of grounds?
	Comments:
()	3.5 SANITATION. Sanitary conditions are in evidence throughout the buildings, with certification of periodic inspections on file.
EVALUATION	[] 31. To what extent do the agency facilities indicate that sanitary conditions are satisfactorily maintained and required periodic inspections are made?
	Comments:
()	3.6 LIGHTING. Sufficient lighting is provided to assure safe passage of pedestrians on grounds and in buildings.
()	3.6.1 Activity areas are lighted consistent with safety standards.
EVALUATION	[] 32. To what extent is sufficient lighting provided on grounds, in the buildings and in activity areas? Comments:
()	3.7 SLIP PREVENTION. Slip-retardant surfaces are provided on all indoor and outdoor walkways and stairs.
EVALUATION	[] 33. To what extent are slip-retardant surfaces provided where required?
٠.	Comments:
()	3.8 WALKWAYS, DOORWAYS, etc. Walkways and doorways are free of obstacles, and are sufficient in size and number to provide for safe movement by people.
()	3.8.1 Where persons served or employed require wheelchairs, crutches or other aids for ambulation, suitable modifications of walkways, entries and other physical facilities are provided.
EVALUATION	[] 34. How adequate are walkways and doorways for the safe movement of people including, wherever indicated, those who need special aids for ambulation?
•	Comments:
()	3.9 HEATING AND VENTILATION. Facilities for heating, cooling, ventilating and humidity control provide for the health and comfort of occupants.

35. How adequately do facilities for heating, cooling, ventilation and humidity control assure health and comfort of building occupants?

()	3.10 PEST EXTERMINATION. Where pest extermination services are required provided with sufficient frequency and effectiveness.	l, they are
EVALUA	TION	[] 36. How adequate are pest extermination services, where req	uired?
		Comments:	
()	3.11 APPROPRIATENESS OF SAFETY MEASURES. Safety features and pro related to the levels of competence of individuals served by the agency, and to blindness. (E.g., greater protection is likely to be required by children t less is likely to be required by adults; less is likely to be required by adults onstrated ability for independent activity than by those who are still in train	not solely han adults; with dem-
EVALUA	TION	[] 37. To what extent are safety features and procedures appropriate levels of competence of individuals served by the facility. Comments:	p riate to the ?
()	3.12 ACTIVITIES AND EQUIPMENT. Safety features and procedures are relative of activities undertaken and the equipment used in the respective area.	ted to the
()	Pieces of equipment having motors and moving parts are properly arrang guarded to prevent personal injury.	ged and
()	3.12.2 Where individuals are required to be exposed to toxic, radioactive or oth agents, proper protective devices are provided and periodic medical chec the exposed individuals.	er hazardou kups made o
EVALUA:	T!ON	[] 38. To what extent are safety features and procedures appropactivities undertaken and the equipment and the material facility? Comments:	oriate to the s used in the
()	3.13 TRAFFIC VOLUME. Safety features are related also to the volume of traffenced at peak times in each particular area of the facility. The greater the numbers of exits, size of paetc.	umber of
EVALUAT	TON	[] 39. How adequate are safety features in relation to traffic at p	eak times?
		Comments:	
()	3.14 FIRST AID. Adequate first aid facilities and equipment are provided.	
		Supplemental Data:	Yes No
		The agency has a written procedure for handling both minimal and severe emergencies.	
		There is adequate personnel coverage to implement the procedures for handling emergencies.	
		Arrangements have been made or are readily available with hospital or other emergency services for handling severe injuries or illnesses.	

ERIC

Comments:

40. How adequate are first aid facilities, equipment and procedures?

()		FIRE AND DISASTER PROTECTION. All buildings are located, constructed and equipped to minimize the possibility of fire and to afford occupants proper protection in the event of fire or disaster. (Disaster is used in its broadest sense, covering emergencies resulting from natural causes—earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, etc.—and from man-made causes—power failures, wars, etc.)
()	3.15.1	All buildings meet applicable fire codes and ordinances.
EVALUATIO	ON Comm	[] 41. How adequate are the location, construction, equipment and mainten ance of buildings for minimizing the possibility of fire and affording occupants with proper protection from fire and disaster? ents:
()	3.16	SELF-INSPECTION. Building inspection is conducted by the agency itself with sufficient frequency to assure the protection of life and property from fire and other disaster
()	3.16.1	Where possible, the cooperation of local officials is secured in conducting such inspections.
()	3.16.2	Proper records of inspections are maintained; deficiencies are noted, together with remedial actions taken.
		Supplemental Data:
		How frequently are building inspections made by the agency?
EVALUATIO	N Comm	[] 42. How adequate are the building inspections made by the agency?
()	1	NDEPENDENT INSPECTION. All buildings are inspected at least once a year by mu- nicipal official, underwriter's representative, or other duly constituted authority which is independent of the agency.
.()	3.17.1	Proper records of such inspections, including findings and actions taken, are maintained on file within the agency.
		Supplemental Data:
		List inspections of the buildings made by an independent authority during the past
		Authority Date of Inspection
	•	
E <i>VALUATIO</i> !	v	[] 43. To what extent are inspections made by independent agencies?
		[] 44. How adequate are records of such inspections?
	Comm	ents:

()	3,18	SMOK by the	ING app	ARE	AS. Smoking and non-smoking areas are clearly specified and approved ate local official(s).
EVALUAT	ION		I	1	<i>45</i> .	To what extent are smoking and non-smoking areas clearly identified and are no-smoking regulations enforced?
		Comm	ients:			
(•		FLAM gasolin safety	e, Ke	eroser	MATERIALS. Where storage and use of highly flammable materials—ne, oxygen, etc.— is necessary, provision is made to meet all building ents.
EVALUAT	ION	Comm	[nents:]	46.	How adequate are safety precautions in areas where flammable materials are used or stored?
)	I	persons	s ser	ved ar	TION PROGRAM. A program of fire prevention is maintained to make and employed conscious of and familiar with the safety and preventive t observe.
EVALUATI	ON		1	1	<i>47</i> .	How adequate is the fire prevention program?
		Comm	ents:			
					4.	
()	3.21 I	EXITS.	. Mo	re th	an one exit is provided from all buildings and from each floor.
(• ·	3.21.1	Whe appr	re in ovec	divid I by t	uals are lodged above the first floor, the number of exits provided is he appropriate local official(s).
()	3.21.2	Fire	exit	s, cor	ridors and stairways are well lighted, clear of obstacles and ready for use.
. ()	3.21.3	Exit be re	doo adil	rs are y ope	equipped with panic bars or other easily identifiable releases that can rated in an emergency.
()	3.21.4	Eme	rgen	cy lig	hting is provided along all routes of exit in the event of a power cut-off.
EVALUATI	ON		I	,	<i>48</i> .	To what extent do the exits and exit routes meet the above standards?
		Commo	ents:			
()	3.22 F	IRE E	XTI suff	NGU ficien	ISHING EQUIPMENT. Suitable fire extinguishing equipment is prot numbers and maintained in operative condition.
()	3.22.1				located so as to be accessible to hazardous areas, along usual paths of strategic places.
()	3.22.2				inspected regularly (daily in some instances) and serviced as required, and inspector recorded.
)	3.22.3	Staff in the	and e use	, whe	re appropriate, persons served are instructed and drilled periodically re-fighting equipment.
EVALUATIO	ON		1 1	, ,	49.	How adequate, in terms of number, location and maintenance, is the agency's fire-extinguishing equipment?

Self-Study and Evaluation Guide

			Commo	[] 50. How adequately are staff and persons served instructed in the use of fire-extinguishing equipment?
	Ç)	3.23 F	TIRE ALARMS. Fire alarms are provided in sufficient numbers to be audible hroughout each building.
•	()	3.23.1	Fire alarms are clearly distinguishable from any other audible system in use within the facility and its vicinity.
	()	3.23.2	The agency fire alarm system is directly connected with the local fire company.
	()	3.23.3	Where buildings are large, the alarm system provides ready identification of the locality of the fire.
	()	3.23.4	An alternate fire alarm power source or system is available in the event of a power cut-off.
	()	3.23.5	A fire and smoke detection system is installed where such protective device is indicated.
	()	3.23.6	Special warning measures are in effect if the blind persons accommodated are also handicapped by deafness. (E.g., pairing a deaf-blind person with a non-deaf person as an emergency buddy; installing a fan or vibrator connected to the alarm system in the deaf-blind person's quarters.)
EVALU	JA TI	ON	•	[] 51. How adequate is the fire alarm system for each building?
			Comme	[] 52. To what extent does the fire alarm equipment meet the above standards?
	()	3.24 W	RITTEN PLAN. A written fire and disaster plan is in force and kept current.
	()	3.24.1	The plan contains such provisions as prompt alerting of and cooperation with local fire-fighting resources, evacuation of occupants, procedures for putting out incipient fires, protection of essential records, etc.
	()	3.24.2	Drills are held with sufficient frequency so that all persons served and employed are familiar with evacuation routes and procedures in the event of a fire or other catastrophe.
	()	3.24.2.1	Drills are scheduled at varying times and during varying activities.
	()	3.24.3	·
			Su	pplemental Data:
				When was the fire and disaster plan most recently reviewed?

ERIC

When was a fire and disaster drill most recently held?

EVALUATION [] 53. How adequate is the fire and disaster plan?

Comments:

4. MAINTENANCE AND RENOVATION

()	4.1	MAINTENANCE AND HOUSEKEEPING. The condition of the physical facility gives evidence of planful and effective maintenance and housekeeping.
()	4.1.1	There is appropriate planning, staffing and budgetary provision for maintenance of buildings, grounds and equipment to insure keeping all in good, clean, usable condition.
()	4.1.2	Refurbishment of structures and areas and replacement of furnishings and equipment are related to the use these are given by the program and the persons served.
()	4.1.3	Housekeeping and maintenance are accomplished without appleciably interfering with ongoing services.
()	4.1.4	Facilities for housekeeping and maintenance services are appropriately located.
()	4.1.5	Grounds are well landscaped and groomed.
()	4.1.6	Buildings are attractively maintained.
EVALUATION		[] 54. To what extent does the agency's maintenance of physical facilities meet the above standards? ments:
()	4.2	REMODELING. When remodeling or reconstruction of facilities is undertaken, the design fits the characteristics of the persons served and the services required.
()	4.2.1	Plans for physical changes of the facility are in keeping with up-to-date program needs and are geared to changes in the population served, shifts within the community, improvements in techniques of service, developments in building and equipment technology.
EVALUATION		[] 55. To what extent is remodeling or reconstruction designed to meet program needs? ments:
()	4.3	CONTINUITY OF SERVICES. During remodeling or reconstruction of physical facilities, responsible measures are taken to provide continuity of needed services during the changeover.
EVALUATIO N		[56. How adequately are services continued during remodeling or reconstruction? ments:



5. PROGRAMMING FOR IMPROVEMENT

A graphic picture of the agency's overall performance emerges when the evaluation ratings from the preceding pages are transcribed onto the Summary Table of Evaluation Ratings. A major value of gaining such a picture is that it spotlights the areas in need of strengthening and thus yields a useful guide to the planning of needed improvements.

Realistically speaking, it is rarely possible for ongoing programs to be subjected to a large number of simultaneous changes. It is, however, both possible and desirable to analyze the problems uncovered through the self-study and evaluation process, and to develop a timetable for tackling them. The process of classifying needed improvements into (1) those already under way, (2) those which can and will be undertaken in the near future, and (3) those which require longer-range planning, produces a program for change which can serve as an orderly guide for action.

The three questions which follow the Summary Table are designed to assist the agency in the development of such a program. They are also designed to help the Commission on Accreditation evaluate the agency in terms of one of the Commission's governing principles: "If deficiencies exist, the agency is willing to remedy them, and gives evidence of being capable of effecting the needed remedies within a reasonable period of time."

It should be borne in mind that changes are not necessarily restricted to the weaker areas of service or administration. If plans for improvement are contemplated in areas which are already good or excellent, so as to make them even better, these should not be overlooked in responding to the questions.



SUMMARY TABLE OF EV

Instructions: Transcribe the evaluation ratings finding a check mark ($\sqrt{}$) in the a

		
	Standards to Which Evaluation Questions Relate	
		\parallel
	y of Facilities	$-\!$
1.1	Agency Purposes and Goals	\parallel
1.2	Persons Served	
1.3	Service Program	
1.4	Facilitation of Staff Performance	
1.5	Periodic Review	
1.6	Location	_#
1.7	Accessibility for Clients	
		ᆘ
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	-#
1.8	Accessibility for Staff, Volunteers and Ancillary Personnel	
1.9	Safety of Location	
1.10	Ground or Plot	
		_#
2. Activity		$\perp \parallel$
2.1	Design and Equipment	$\bot\!\!\!\!\bot$
2.2	Adequacy for Peak Loads	$-\!$
2.3	Related Activities	-#
2.4	Traffic Patterns	-#
2.5	Utilization by Handicapped Persons	-4
2.6	Grouping of Areas	
2.7	Receiving, Storage, etc.	
2.8	Provisions for Privacy	_
		-#
	d Safety Provisions	-#
3.1	Codes and Ordinances	- 11-
	•	計
3.2	Freedom from Undue Hazards	-#
3.3	Sight Safeguards	-#
3.4	Drainage	
3.5	Sanitation	
3.6	Lighting	-#
3.7	Slip Prevention	1
3.8	Walkways, Doorways, etc.	-#
	walkways, Door ways, Cto.	

Heating and Ventilation

EVALUATION RATINGS

gs from the preceding pages onto this table by an eappropriate column.

							_	
	EVALUATION QUESTION	EXCELLENT	VERY GOOD	0000	FAIR	POOR	MISSING	NOT APPLICABLE
	1	 	<u> </u>					
ľ	2 1							
	3							
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9				i			
	5		<u> </u>					
	6							
	7	•						.]
	8		L					
- 1:	9			L				
- 1	10	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
	11		ļ					
				ļ			- ,	
4	12 13 14 15		<u> </u>					
	13				<u> </u>			
1	14	ļ	·	ļ	<u> </u>			
	15			ļ	-			
$-\mathbb{H}$					<u> </u>			
\dashv	10			ļ	<u> </u>			
-H	10	 		}				
	10	-	-	<u> </u>	 			
	20	├			·	 		
	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 		·	 	<u> </u>		
	22	-						
	23	 					_	
	24	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
		ļ				_		
	25							
	26							
	25 26 27							
	28	<u> </u>	-					-
	29							
1	30							
	31							
	32							
	33							
	34							
	35							



SUMMARY TABLE OF EVALUATION RATINGS (continued)

Standards to Which Evaluation Questions Relate	EVALUATION OUESTION	EXCELLENT	VERY GOOD	GOCD	FAIR	POOR	MISSING	NOT APPLICABLE
3.10 Pest Extermination	36							
3.11 Appropriateness of Safety Measures	37							
3.12 Activities and Equipment	38							
3.13 Traffic Volume	39							
3.14 First Aid	40_							
3.15 Fire and Disaster Protection	41							
3.16 Self-Inspection	42							
3.17 Independent Inspection	43							
3.18 Smoking Areas	45							
3.19 Flammable Materials	46							
3.20 Fire Prevention Program	47							
3.21 Exits	48					,		
3.22 Fire Extinguishing Equipment	49							
	50							
3.23 Fire Alarms	51							
	52							
3.24 Written Plan	53							
4. Maintenance and Renovation								
4.1 Maintenance and Housekeeping	54							
4.2 Remodeling	55							
4.3 Continuity of Services	56							



1. What improvements are now under way?

2. What improvements are definitely planned for the immediate future? When are they scheduled for completion?



3. What improvements will require long-range planning to implement? When is it expected that this planning will be undertaken?

Name of Agency ______ Date ______

Completed by _____

Look for this Symbol of Quality Service



